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In [de Boer, Dijkgraaf, Hori, Keurentjes, Morgan, Morrison, Sethi 2001] the moduli space of string vacua with 16 supercharges was studied very thoroughly, specially in seven dimensions:

| Heterotic <br> description | Orientifold <br> description | M theory on K3 with frozen <br> singularities of type | F theory <br> compactified on |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "standard component" | $\left(-^{8}\right)$ | smooth $K 3$ | $K 3 \times S^{1}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ triple <br> CHL string <br> no vector structure | $\left(-^{6},+^{2}\right)$ | $D_{4} \oplus D_{4}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ triple |  | $E_{6} \oplus E_{6}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ triple |  | $E_{7} \oplus E_{7}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ triple |  | $E_{8} \oplus E_{8}$ | $\left(K 3 \times E_{8}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ triple | $\left(D_{4}\right)^{4}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |  |
|  | $\left(-^{4},+^{4}\right)_{1}$ | $\left(E_{6}\right)^{3}$ | $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
|  | $\left(-^{4},++^{4}\right)_{2}$ | $D_{4} \oplus E_{7} \oplus E_{7}$ | $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
|  |  | $\left.D_{4} \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |  |
|  |  | $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |  |

Frozen singularities are such that they cannot be resolved, thus they reduce the number of moduli and gauge group rank. In this case, due to nontrivial 3-form background. Not well understood.

| Heterotic <br> description | Orientifold <br> description | M theory on K3 with frozen <br> singularities of type | F theory <br> compactified on |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "standard component" | $\left(-^{8}\right)$ | smooth $K 3$ | $K 3 \times S^{1}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ triple <br> CHL string <br> no vector structure | $\left(-^{6},+^{2}\right)$ | $D_{4} \oplus D_{4}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ triple |  | $E_{6} \oplus E_{6}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ triple |  | $E_{7} \oplus E_{7}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S^{4}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ triple |  | $\left(D_{4}\right)^{4}$ | $\left(K 3 \times S_{8}^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ triple |  | $\left(E_{6}\right)^{3}$ | $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
|  | $\left(-^{4},+^{4}\right)_{2}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $D_{4} \oplus E_{7} \oplus E_{7}$ | $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ |
|  | $D_{4} \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}$ | $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |  |

The highlighted orientifolds are perturbatively inequivalent. Nonperturbative inequivalent if there exist inequivalent embeddings (uplifts to K3)

$$
4 D_{4} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{3,19}
$$

To see this, in both cases Exchange ("unfreeze") $\quad O 6^{+} \longrightarrow O 6^{-}+4 D 6$
This is usual Type II orientifold, dual to $\operatorname{Spin}(32) / Z_{2}$ Heterotic on $T^{3}$


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}=\left(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \\
A_{2}=\left(0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), & W_{\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}} \\
A_{3}=(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) . & \\
A_{1}=\left(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), & \\
A_{2}=\left(0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0,0,0,0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), & W_{\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}} \\
A_{3}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0\right) .
\end{array}
$$
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$$
W_{\text {Spin }(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}=W_{\text {Spin }(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}}^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{2,2}(2), & & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2)^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} \\
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}^{\prime}=W_{\text {Spin }(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{3,3}(2), & & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2)^{3}
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, there are two inequivalent embeddings for $3 E_{6}$ and $2 E_{7}+D_{4}$, [Fraiman, HP 2021] Hence two different moduli space components for each:
$3 \mathrm{E}_{6}:$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}=W_{E_{6}^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}}^{\perp}=A_{2}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}, & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2) \\
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}^{\prime}=W_{E_{6}^{3}}^{\perp}=A_{2}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(3), & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2)
\end{array}
$$

$2 \mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{4}:$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}=W_{E_{7}^{2} \times \operatorname{Spin}(8) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{\perp}=2 A_{1}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}, & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2) \\
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}^{\prime}=W_{E_{7}^{2} \times \operatorname{Spin}(8)}^{\perp}=2 A_{1}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(2), & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2)
\end{array}
$$

There is a similar story in 8D, using F-Theory on elliptic K3.
Singular fibers of type $D_{8}$ can be frozen
For $2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$ there are two possibilities:

(Enhancements agree with [Cvetic, Dierigl, Lin, Zhang 2022])
These two rank 2 components have been taken to be the same in the literature. They are not.


These frozen singularity pairs correspond to $4 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ in 7D just discussed, $2 D_{4}$ embeds into affine $D_{8}$ [Witten 1998]

Natural proposal: $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ and $2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$ uplift to 9D frozen singularities, possibly in real elliptic K3.
[Cachazo, Vafa 2000]

$$
D_{8} \rightarrow E_{8}, \quad 2 D_{8} \rightarrow 2 E_{8} \text { or } D_{16}, \quad 2 D_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow D_{16}^{\prime}
$$

Natural proposal: $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ and $2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$ uplift to 9 D frozen singularities, possibly in real elliptic K3.
[Cachazo, Vafa 2000]

$$
D_{8} \rightarrow E_{8}, \quad 2 D_{8} \rightarrow 2 E_{8} \text { or } D_{16}, \quad 2 D_{8}^{\prime} \rightarrow D_{16}^{\prime}
$$

Two rank 2 components in 8D decompactify to:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text {charge }}=W_{2 E_{8}}^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1}, & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2) \\
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}=W_{\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1}, & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2) \\
\Lambda_{\text {charge }}^{\prime}=W_{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1}(2), & G_{\text {enh }}=S U(2)
\end{array}
$$

Described e.g. by M-Theory on KB, Type I' on S1 with O8+ and O8- (see e.g. [Aharony, Komargodski, Patir 2007]) and same Type l' with discrete theta angle [Montero, HP, to appear]

## Conclusion:

updated picture (complete)

| rank reduction | $d=9$ | $d=8$ | $d=7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $E_{8}$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(16)$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{2}$ |
| 16 | $E_{8}^{2}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(16)^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(8){ }^{4}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ |
|  | $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(32)}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ | $\operatorname{Spin}(16)^{2}$ | $\frac{\operatorname{Sin}(8)^{4}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ |
|  | $\operatorname{Spin}(32)$ | $\operatorname{Spi}$ |  |
| 12 | - | - | $E_{6}^{2}$ |
|  | - | - | $E_{7}^{2}$ |
|  | - | - | $E_{8}^{2}$ |
|  | - | - | $E_{8}^{2 \prime}$ |
| 18 | - | - | $\frac{E_{6}^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{3}}$ |
|  | - | - | $E_{6}^{3}$ |
|  | - | - | $\frac{\operatorname{Spin}(8) \times E_{7}^{2}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}$ |
|  | - | - | $\operatorname{Spin}(8) \times E_{7}^{2}$ |
|  | - | - | $\operatorname{Spin}(8) \times E_{6} \times E_{8}$ |

All compactifications to 6D are contained in partial classification using alternative methods. [Fraiman, HP] (to appear)


